# CARB 72126P-2013





# Calgary Assessment Review Board DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

#### between:

## HAWKWOOD VILLAGE PROPERTIES LTD. (as represented by Altus Group Ltd.), COMPLAINANT

and

## The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

#### before:

## Earl K. Williams, PRESIDING OFFICER A. Huskinson, MEMBER A. Maciag, MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2013 Assessment Roll as follows:

#### **ROLL NUMBER: 176107902**

LOCATION ADDRESS: 555 Hawkwood BV NW

FILE NUMBER: 72126

ASSESSMENT: \$5,190,000

#### Page 2 of 5

# CARB 72126P-2013

This complaint was heard on 13<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 4, 1212 - 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 2.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

- Agent, Altus Group Ltd K. Fong
- D. Main

Agent, Altus Group Ltd Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

Assessor, The City of Calgary T. Johnson

#### **Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

The parties advised the Board of an agreement that the rental rates are reasonable and [1] representative of market. Further the Board was requested to provide a written decision confirming the assessment.

No Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters were raised by the parties. [2]

#### **Property Description:**

The subject property at 555 Hawkwood Bv NW is a 22,132 square foot (sq. ft.) multi-[3] building strip (3 buildings) on 1.86 acres of land with a 1988 approximate year of construction (ayoc) assigned a B- quality rating in the community of Hawkwood with the Property Use: Commercial and Sub Property Use: CM0210 Retail - Shopping Centres - Strip. The subject property has 2,748 sq. ft. of CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft.; 14,509 sq. ft. of CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft., 2,678 sq. ft. of CRU 2,501-6,000 sq. ft.; and a poor location area of 2,197 sq. ft.

The assessment was prepared on the Income Approach with a capitalization rate (cap [4] rate) of 6.75%; a market rental rate of \$22.00 per square foot (psf) for the CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft.; \$21.00 psf for the CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft.; \$19.00 psf CRU 2,501-6,000 sq. ft; and \$10.00 psf for the poor location.

## Issues:

Should the subject property assessed on the Income Approach with the assessed rental [5] rates for:

- 1) CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. be reduced from \$22.00 psf to \$20.00 psf?
- CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. be reduced from \$21.00 psf to \$20.00 psf?

#### Complainant's Requested Value: \$4,910,000

#### **Board's Decision:**

Based on the evidence and arguments presented the Board supports that the rental rate [6] for the CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. remain \$22.00 psf and the CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. remain at \$21,00.

#### Page 3 of 5

[7] The assessment is confirmed at \$5,190,000.

## Position of the Parties

[8] The Complainant and Respondent presented a wide range of evidence consisting of relevant and less relevant evidence. In the interests of brevity, the Board will restrict its comments to those items the Board found relevant to the matters at hand. Furthermore, the Board's findings and decision reflect on the evidence presented and examined by the parties before the Board at the time of the hearing.

[9] The Complainant's evidence package included a Summary of Testimonial Evidence, a map identifying the location of the property, photographs of the exterior of the subject property, the Property Assessment Notice, the City of Calgary 2013 Property Assessment Summary Report, the City of Calgary Non-Residential Properties – Income Approach Valuation work sheet, and comparable market leasing analysis.

[10] The Respondent's evidence package included a Summary of Testimonial Evidence, a map identifying the location of the property, photographs of the exterior of the subject property, the Property Assessment Notice, the City of Calgary Non-Residential Properties – Income Approach Valuation work sheet, the Assessment Request for Information (ARFI) and lease comparable analysis.

### Issue – CRU Rental Rate

#### Complainant's Position:

[11] CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. – in support of the requested rental rate the Complainant reviewed the table titled 2013 CRU Rental Rate Analysis on page 24 of Exhibit C1. The analysis presented lease details on a sample of 3 comparables which are all strip centres with the CM0210 property use, with a B- quality rating and located in the NW quadrant. The analysis of the sample reported the leased area ranged from 522 to 996 sq. ft. and rental rate ranged from \$15.00 psf to \$20.50 psf. The mean rental rate was reported as \$18.50 psf and median rental rate as \$20.00 psf.

[12] CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. – in support of the requested rental rate the Complainant reviewed the table titled 2013 CRU Rental Rate Analysis on page 23 of Exhibit C1. The analysis presented lease details on a sample of 7 comparables which are all strip centres with the CM0210 property use, with a B- quality rating and located in the NW quadrant. The analysis of the sample reported the leased area ranged from 1,154 to 2,356 sq. ft. and rental rate ranged from \$17.00 psf to \$22.00 psf. The mean rental rate was reported as \$19.43 psf and median rental rate as \$20.00 psf.

[13] In summary the Complainant argued that the analysis outlined above supports the requested reductions.

#### **Respondent's Position:**

[14] CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. - the Respondent reviewed the lease comparable table titled Lease Comparables NW B- CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. on page 33 of Exhibit R1. The analysis presented lease details on a sample of 8 comparables which are all strip centres with the CM0210 property use, with a B- quality rating and located in the NW quadrant. The analysis reported the leased

#### Page 4 of 5

area ranged from 313 to 1,000 sq. ft. and rental rate ranged from \$15.00 psf to \$26.00 psf. The analysis determined the mean rental rate as \$21.99 psf, the median as \$22.44 and the weighted mean as \$22.19 psf.

[15] CRU 1,001-2.500 sq. ft. – the Respondent reviewed the lease comparable table titled Lease Comparables 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. on page 34 of Exhibit R1. The analysis presented lease details on a sample of 20 comparables which are all strip centres with the CM0210 property use, with a B- quality rating and located in the NW quadrant. The analysis reported the leased area ranged from 1,020 to 2,450 sq. ft. and rental rate ranged from \$16.00 psf to \$25.00 psf. The analysis determined the mean rental rate as \$20.93 psf, the median as \$20.75 and the weighted mean as \$20.83 psf.

#### **Board's Reasons for Decision:**

[16] Based on the evidence presented the Board determined that the Respondent's sample size was larger in respect of the number of comparables, which statically is more representative of the market. Generalizing from a large sample is more supportable than from a small sample.

[17] Specifically:

- 1) CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. Complainant's sample contained 3 comparables while the Respondent used a sample of 8 comparables which included the Respondent's 3 comparables.
- 2) CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. Respondent's sample contained 20 comparables including the Complainant's 7 comparables. Further the Complainant's sample of 7 included 5 comparables from the subject property.

[18] Based on the evidence and arguments presented, the Board supports the use of \$22.00 psf for the CRU 0-1,000 sq. ft. category and \$21.00 for the CRU 1,001-2,500 sq. ft. category.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 5th DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_ 2013.

Earl K. Williams Presiding Officer

# APPENDIX "A"

# DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

NO		
1. C1	Complainant Disclosure	
	Subject Property Disclosure	
2. R1	Respondent Disclosure	

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application former leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

#### FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE

Appeal Type	Property Type	Property Sub-Type	Issue	Sub-Issue
CARB	RETAIL	Shopping Centres-Strip	Income Approach	Lease rate